

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9756 OF 2013

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1768 OF 2014

Dattaprasad s/o Pandurangji Randad .. Petitioner

versus

Shri Vaidyanath Swami Devsthan,
Parli Vaijnath and others .. Respondents

Mr Ruchir Wani, Advocate holding for Mr. A.S. Bajaj for
petitioner

Mr Milind M. Patil (Beedkar), Advocate for respondent no. 1

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 17th October, 2018

ORDER :

1. Learned counsel for respondent no. 1 would submit that petitioner would have an alternate remedy in the form of second revision and thus writ petition would not be maintainable.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw writ petition to avail of alternate remedy.
3. Leave granted.
4. Writ petition is disposed of withdrawn.
5. If petitioner avails of alternate remedy within a period of six weeks from today, time spent in prosecution of this writ petition should receive its due.
6. Civil application does not survive and stands disposed of.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH
JUDGE

pnd/-