

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

915 WRIT PETITION NO. 11269 OF 2018

VASANT HASTIMAL LODHA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Andhale Sandip R
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. P.S. Patil
Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 : Mr. S.T. Shelke

...

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**
DATE : 09.10.2018

PC. :-

The objections raised by the petitioner are not specific and are too vague. The petitioner also does not contend any specific objection.

2. In this view of the matter, no interference is called for in exercise of extra ordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The writ petition is devoid of substance and sands rejected.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[R.M. BORDE, J.]