

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

909. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12668 OF 2018
IN
RA/62/2016
IN
SA/418/2006

SHRAWAN KERU MISAL L.RS. SHANKAR
L.RS. RATNAMALA AND ORS.
VERSUS
VITHAL EKNATH KULKARNI
L.RS. KAMAL (DECEASED) AND ORS.

.....

Mr. R.A.Tambe, Advocate for applicants.

.....

WITH
CA/12669/2018
IN
RA/62/2016
IN
SA/418/2006

.....

CORAM: V.L.ACHLIYA, J.

DATE : 10/10/2018

.....

ORAL ORDER :

1. These applications are filed by the applicants for effecting service by way of paper publication to respondent Nos. 2-C and 5-D respectively for the reasons set out in detail in the respective application.
2. Heard learned counsel for the applicants. Perused the applications.
3. The applications supported with affidavit clearly mention

that in spite of taking efforts, service could not be effected at the given address. Notices were also issued through registered post which were returned unserved recording therein same reason. It is the say of learned counsel that the applicants are not aware of any other address than the address mentioned in the memo of appeal.

4. Considering the reason assigned supported by affidavit, the applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause 'A' in respective application subject to payment of publication charges by the applicants. The publication charges be directly paid to the office of concerned news-paper.

5. The applications stand disposed of in above terms.

[V.L.ACHLIYA]
JUDGE