

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT BOMBAY**

BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO.12231 OF 2017

Dhanraj Himmat Patil ... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others. ... Respondents.

...
Mr.S.P.Brahme, advocate for the Petitioner.
Mrs.Vaishali Patil Jadhav, A.G.P. for the State
Mr.S.S.Deshmukh, advocate for Respondent Nos.3
and 4.

...

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA AND
A.M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

Date : 29.01.2018.

PER COURT :

1. Mr.Deshmukh, learned counsel for Respondents states that the Disciplinary Proceedings have culminated and the petitioner is terminated.

2. In view of the said statement,

Mr.Brahme, learned counsel seeks leave to challenge the said action.

3. The petitioner may assail the action taken by Respondent Nos.3 and 4 as is permissible in law.

4. All contentions of respective parties are kept open.

5. Depending upon further steps and the decision, the petitioner may also put up his grievance with regard to his suspension as is permissible in law.

6. The Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(A.M.DHAVAL, J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.)

