

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8846 OF 2013

Pandit Wamanrao Waghmode ... Petitioner.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
and others ... Respondents.

....

None for the Petitioner.

Mr. M.M. Nerlikar, A.G.P. for Respondent-State.

Mr. S.M. Jadhav, Advocate h/f Mr. K.C. Sant, Advocate for
Respondent No.3.

Mr. S.B. Kakde, Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

....

**CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATED : 26th FEBRUARY, 2018

PER COURT:-

1. Learned counsel representing respondent No.3-
Management made a statement that the petitioner was allowed
to appear for the examination in that year. The petitioner was
filed in respect of only one examination i.e. first year BAMS. In
view of the statement made, nothing survives in the petition. The
petition is disposed of as *infructuous*.

2.

**(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)
JUDGE**

**(T.V. NALAWADE)
JUDGE**