

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.5490 OF 2018

Ushabai Baban Takbhate

PETITIONER

VERSUS

Padmin Raosaheb Kendre @ Padmit Ramesh Vivek RESPONDENT

.....
Mr. B. N. Patil, Advocate for the petitioner

.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 11th JUNE, 2018

ORDER :

1. In the face of adequate alternate efficacious remedy provided under section 15 of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986, I am not inclined to entertain the writ petition.

2. Writ petition, as such, stands disposed of leaving it open for the petitioner to have recourse to appropriate remedy as may be available in law.

3. While the petitioner prefers to have recourse to such remedy, the period consumed in prosecuting present writ petition may be given appropriate treatment.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]