

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
FIRST APPEAL NO.570 OF 2017

National Insurance Company Ltd. Appellant

Versus

Shailesh Kailas Jindal (Agrawal) & others Respondents

WITH
FIRST APPEAL NO.571 OF 2017

National Insurance Company Ltd. Appellant

Versus

Shailesh Kailas Jindal (Agrawal) & others Respondents

Mr.A.S.Usmanpurkar, advocate for the appellant
Mr.S.B.Yawalkar, advocate for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : M.S.SONAK, J.
DATE : 05th February, 2018.

P.C. :

Heard.

Admit. Expedite.

Mr.Yawalkar, advocate waives service of notice on
behalf of Respondent No.1.

M.S.SONAK
JUDGE

adb

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11263 OF 2017 IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.570 OF 2017

Shailesh s/o Kailash Jindal (Agrawal) Applicant

Versus

National Insurance Company Ltd. & others Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11509 OF 2017 IN
FIRST APPEAL NO.571 OF 2017

Shailesh s/o Kailash Jindal (Agrawal) Applicant

Versus

National Insurance Company Ltd. & others Respondents

Mr.S.B.Yawalkar, advocate for the applicant.

Mr.A.S.Usmanpurkar, advocate for Respondent No.1.

CORAM : M.S.SONAK, J.

DATE : 05th February, 2018.

P.C. :

In this case, adoptive father and mother of the applicant, who is stated to be a practicing lawyer, have expired in the accident.

In the appeal, a serious dispute is raised as to issue of dependency. In these circumstances, it will not be appropriate to permit the applicant to withdraw amount of compensation.

The applicant was stated to be 28 / 29 years of age at

the time of demise of his parents. In the Claim Petition, his age was mentioned as 33 and there is no dispute that he is a practicing advocate.

Accordingly, both these Civil Applications are dismissed.

M.S.SONAK
JUDGE

adb