

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

p3 WRIT PETITION NO. 10444 OF 2018

SAMADHAN TANAJI TELE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Bolkar Yogesh B.
Advocate for Respondent Nos. 3 & 4 : Mr. A.B. Kadethankar
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. P.S. Patil

...

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**
DATE : 12.09.2018

PC. :-

The petitioners pray for issuance of directions to the respondents to accept the nomination forms. It is contended that before the prescribed time they appeared before the Returning Officer, however their nomination forms were not accepted. The contentions raised by the petitioners necessitate determination of disputable questions of fact apart from this the petitioners have remedy of raising a challenge after completion of election process in accordance with provisions of Maharashtra Village Panchayat Act.

2. Keeping the aforesaid option open for the petitioner, writ petition is disposed of.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[R.M. BORDE, J.]