

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11474 OF 2017 IN
SECOND APPEAL NO.436 OF 2011

Vasant s/o Dada Kharat

...APPLICANT

VERSUS

Kadubai w/o Ramrao Folane & ors.

...RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri V.R. Dhorde, Advocate for applicant
Shri Yuvraj Kakade, Advocate for respondent No.2
Shri Y.S. Choudhari, Advocate for R.No.3
.....

CORAM: A.M. DHAVALA, J.

DATED : 7th December, 2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. In view of transfer of the suit property in faovur of proposed respondent No.3 by respondent No.2 in spite of injunction order, this application is moved for addition of respondent No.3. Advocate for respondent No.3 has no objection. Hence, the Civil Application is allowed ion terms of prayer clause (B). Learned Advocate for respondent No.2 makes a statement that he is not going to create third party interest in the suit property. The statement is accepted. Hence, prayer clause is not considered. Civil Application stands disposed of.

(A.M. DHAVALA)
JUDGE

fmp/