

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.11575 OF 2017

Chetan S/o Dilip Mahajan

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

...Respondents

....

Mr. S.V.Deshmukh, advocate h/f Mr. Ramraje A. Deshmukh,
Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr.P.S. Patil, A.G.P. for respondent Nos. 1 to 4

....

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA AND
A.M.DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE: MARCH 28, 2018

...

PER COURT :

1. The learned A.G.P. raised an objection that the petitioner has an alternate remedy before the Tribunal.

2. Mr.S.V.Deshmukh, learned counsel for petitioner submits that the representation is given by the petitioner. The same is pending. The matter is with regard to the compassionate appointment.

3. The petitioner may prosecute his representation with the authority. If the representation is given, naturally, the authorities are required to consider the same.

4. If the petitioner wants to assail the matter on merit, the petitioner has got remedy before the Tribunal. If the petitioner approaches the Tribunal, all the contentions of the respective parties are kept open.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(A.M. DHAVAL, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

mta/-