

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.11214 OF 2018

GAVAJI JABAJI RAUT
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Rahul B. Temak
GP for Respondents No.1 and 2 : Mr. A. B. Girase
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. V. D. Sapkal

...

WRIT PETITION NO.11238 OF 2018

SUVARNA BABASAHEB BHOR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. D. R. Korade
AGP for Respondents No.1 and 2 : Mr. A. R. Kale
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. V. D. Sapkal

...

WRIT PETITION NO.11241 OF 2018

MANGESH KASHINATH GHOLAP
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. D. R. Korade
AGP for Respondents No.1 and 2 : Mr. A. R. Kale
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. V. D. Sapkal

...

**CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
K.K. SONAWANE, JJ.**

DATED : 14th DECEMBER, 2018

Order :-

Learned counsel appearing for the parties jointly submit that the issue raised in these petitions has been considered by the Division Bench of this Court (Coram : S. V. Gangapurwala and Sunil K. Kotwal, JJ.) under Order dated 5th July, 2018, in Writ Petition No. 5237 of 2017

[*Pandharinath Vishwanath Khade Versus The State of Maharashtra and others*] and connected matters. They further submit that the petitions be decided in terms of directions contained in the said order.

2. Considering the above, we pass following order :-

- (i) Respondent No.3 shall examine the claim of the petitioners in the light of observations made by Division Bench of this Court in the case of *Uddhav Trimbak Umbare Versus State of Maharashtra and Others* in Writ Petition No. 9398 of 2012, decided at Bombay, dated 01-02-2013 and pass speaking order in regard to the claim of the petitioners for treating them as full time Assistant Teachers, Shikshan Sevaks.
- (ii) The decision shall be taken by respondent no.3, expeditiously and in case the petitioners are found eligible, the proposals of the petitioners will be forwarded to respondent No.2.
- (iii) The *status-quo* as it exists today in respect of the employment of the petitioners shall be maintained by respondent No.3 till the decision as aforesaid is taken.
- (iv) The Writ Petitions are disposed of. No costs.

[K. K. SONAWANE, J.]

[S. S. SHINDE, J.]