

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 11793 OF 2017

Dilip Ananda Marathe and others .. Petitioners

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr Vijay B. Patil, Advocate for petitioners

Mr S. P. Tiwari, Assistant Government Pleader for
respondents no. 1 to 4

Mr Ruchir Wani, Advocate for respondents 5, 6A, 7 to 12

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.

DATE : 17th October, 2018

ORDER :

1. Learned counsel for respondents would submit that petitioners would have an alternate remedy in the form of second revision and thus writ petition would not be maintainable.

2. Learned counsel for petitioners, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw writ petition to avail of alternate remedy.

3. Leave granted.
4. Writ petition is disposed of withdrawn.
5. If petitioners avail of alternate remedy within a period of four weeks from today, time spent in prosecution of this writ petition should receive its due.
6. Ad-interim relief hitherto operating to continue to operate for a period of six weeks from today.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH
JUDGE

pnd/-