

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.10252 OF 2018

Smt. Rama w/o Purushottam Wanjari

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

Mr. D.R. Irale Patil advocate for the petitioner

Mrs. V.N. Patil - Jadhav, AGP for the respondents No.1

Mr. S.B. Ghute advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4

...

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ**

Date : 7TH SEPTEMBER, 2018

PER COURT :-

1 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned AGP for respondent No.1 and learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2 The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is similarly situated as that of the petitioner in Writ Petition No.4616 of 2016 and 4626 of 2016, decided by this Court under the Judgment and order dated 22.12.2017.

3 The learned counsel for the respondents, on going through the Judgment, accepts that the present petitioner is similarly

situated. The respondents were same in Writ Petitions No.4616 and 4624 of 2016.

4 For the reasons stated in the said Judgment, the impugned order passed by respondent nos.2 and 3, claiming recovery is quashed and set aside. The respondents are directed to repay the amount to the petitioner, which has been recovered from her within a period of six months from today.

5 The respondents are at liberty to re-fix the pay of the petitioner after giving opportunity to the petitioner.

6 Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J)

(R.M. BORDE, J)