

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE
AT BOMBAY**

BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

INCOME TAX APPEAL NO.94 OF 2016

Pr.Commissioner of Income
Tax-2, Nashik. ... Appellant.

Versus

M/s Rajmal Lakhichand Jewellers
Pvt.Ltd., Jalgaon. ... Respondent.

...

Mr.Alok Sharma, Standing counsel for Appellant
absent.

Mr.Subodh P.Shah, advocate for Respondent.

...

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA AND
A.M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

Date : 12.03.2018.

PER COURT :

1. Learned Standing Counsel for the
appellant absent. Counsel for Respondent is
present.

2. Perusal of the appeal Memo it

transpires that the Tax liability involved is Rs.12,16,063/- (Rupees twelve lac sixteen thousand sixty three).

3. In view of CBDT Circular dated 10.12.2015, the policy decision is taken not to prosecute the appeals wherein Tax liability is below Rs.20,00,000/- (Rupees twenty lac).

4. In light of the above, the appeal stands disposed of.

(A.M.DHAVAL, J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.)

asp/office/Intaxappeal94.16

