

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

1036 WRIT PETITION NO. 9993 OF 2018

KALINDIBAI APPASAHEB KADAM
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH COLLECTOR JALNA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Patil Prakashsing B.
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. S.W. Munde
...

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 31.08.2018**

PC. :-

It would be open for the petitioner to raise appropriate objections as regards the entitlement of respondent no.5 to cast vote in the meeting since he cannot continue as a Member of the Village Panchayat. It is the contention of the petitioner that by virtue of deeming provision of Section 10-1A(ii) of the Village Panchayat Act, the respondent no.5 shall be deemed to have incurred the disqualification. If an objection is raised, in that regard the Presiding Officer may take suitable steps. With liberty as aforesaid the writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[R.M. BORDE, J.]