

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

38 WRIT PETITION NO. 10168 OF 2017

KUNAL KEWALSINGH RAJPUT

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Prakshsing B. Patil, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. A. P Basarkar, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATED : 10th JULY, 2018.

PER COURT:-

1. Mr. Patil, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the advertisement issued by the respondent for appointment of Legal Officer on contract basis, the petitioner had applied. The written examination was held. Thereafter, the petitioner was also called for interview. The respondent without publishing the merit list, directly published the selection list. The selection process was not conducted in proper manner. In the selection list, only names of the candidates and office of the posting is shown. The whole list is sham.

2. The learned A.G.P. has placed before us the original record and the list published by the

selection committee. The selection committee comprises of four persons. The total post to be filled in were 29. The petitioner stand at serial no.51 in the order of merit. The petitioner has secured very less marks.

3. The petitioner does not even remotely stand a chance. There are 50 candidates above the petitioner in merit. We have perused the original list signed by all the members of the selection committee.

4. The case does not have any merit. Writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE