

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

919 **CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2371 OF 2018**

[1] Amar Chandrasingh Rajput **APPLICANTS**
Age : 32 Years, Occ. Business,
R/o. House No.N-10/18, Sara
Parivartan, Sawangi, Taluka
Phulambri, Dist. Aurangabad

[2] Vijaymala Chandrasingh Rajput,
Age : 64 Years, Occ. Household,
R/o. As above

V E R S U S

[1] The State of Maharashtra, **RESPONDENTS**
Through Phulambri Police Station,
Taluka Phulambri, District
Aurangabad

[2] Vaishali Amar Rajput, Aged
28 Years, Occ. Household,
R/o. House No.N-10/18, Sara
Parivartan Sawangi, Taluka
Phulambri, District Aurangabad

Mr. P.P. Dawalkar, Advocate for the applicants
Mr. S.J. Salgare, A.P.P. for respondent No.1
Mr. M.M. Parghane, Advocate for respondent No.2

**CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE AND
SMT.VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.**

DATE : 31st AUGUST, 2018

ORAL JUDGMENT [Per: T.V. NALAWADE, J.] :

Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. By consent of both the parties, application is taken up for final hearing. Heard both the sides.

2. This proceeding is filed for quashing the First Information Report bearing Crime No.148/2018, registered with Phulambri Police Station for the offence punishable under Section 328 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Applicant No.1 is the husband of respondent No.2 and applicant No.2 is mother of applicant No.1. The first informant i.e. respondent No.2 filed the complaint that applicant No.1-husband forcibly administered the poison to her, and her father took her for treatment to the hospital.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants submitted that both the parties have settled the dispute. Reply affidavit is taken on record and she has mentioned that she does not want to proceed against her husband and her mother-in-law. She has resumed cohabitation. In view of the above circumstances, application is allowed in terms of prayer clause "B".

5. Rule made absolute in above terms.

[SMT.VIBHA KANKANWADI ,J.]

[T.V. NALAWADE, J.]

SRM/31/8/18