

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.9251 OF 2016

Prakash s/o. Madan Patil,
Age : 55 years, Occ. Agri.,
r/o. Brahmanpuri, Tq. Shahada,
Dist. Nandurbar ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai – 32
and ors. ..Respondents

Mr.A.D.Shinde, Advocate for petitioner

Mr.S.P.Tiwari, AGP for respondent nos.1 to 4

Mr.S.U.Chaudhari Advocate for respondent no.5

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
R.G. AVACHAT, JJ.**

DATE : NOVEMBER 28, 2018

PER COURT

Heard Mr.Shinde, learned Counsel for the
petitioner, the learned AGP for respondent nos.1 to 4
and Mr.Chaudhari, learned Counsel for respondent no.5.

2. It seems that the grievance of the petitioner has been taken care of by the Education Officer. It is stated in the affidavit filed by the Education Officer that show-cause notice has been issued to respondent no.6 on 17.11.2018 and directions have been given to remove the deficiencies.

3. In view of the above, as the steps have already been initiated, the purpose of the Writ Petition does not survive. The Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

4. After the decision is taken by the respondent, an aggrieved party shall have right to agitate against the said decision.

[R.G. AVACHAT, J.]

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]