

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.440 OF 2014
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.3537 OF 2000**

...

**VISHNU HARIBHAU SALVE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & OTHERS**

...

Mr.S.B.Pulkundwar, AGP for the respondent/State

...

**CORAM: S.S. SHINDE AND
S.M.GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 28.03.2018

ORDER:

1] Learned AGP appearing for the respondent-State relying upon the averments in the affidavit-in-reply and annexures thereto submits that, the order of which disobedience has been alleged in this Petition, has been complied with during pendency of this Contempt Petition.

2] It appears that, there is delay in compliance of the order, however, respondent nos. 2 and 3 have tendered unconditional apology for the said delay.

3] The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Suresh Chandra Poddar Vs. Dhani Ram and others*¹ has taken a view that even where the order of court is complied with, subsequent to receipt of notice of

¹ [2002] 1 SCC 766

contempt, court should show judicial grace and magnanimity in dealing with action for contempt.

4] In the light of above, the Contempt Petition stands disposed of.

[S.M.GAVHANE]
JUDGE

[S.S.SHINDE]
JUDGE

DDC