

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

36 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1913 OF 2017 IN FAST/25145/2016

ASARAM ABARAO KAKADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Manish P. Tripathi
AGP for Respondents No. 1 and 2: Mr. R.B. Bagul
Advocate for Respondent No. 3 : Mr. S.G. Bhalerao

...
WITH CA/1914/2017 IN FAST/25149/2016
...

**CORAM : K.K. SONAWANE, J.
DATED : 16th JANUARY, 2018.**

Order :-

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Perused the applications and relevant documents produced on record. It has been submitted on behalf of the applicants that delay caused in filing the appeal is not intentional or deliberate but it caused due to unavoidable circumstances as well financial crises for filing the present appeals. Learned counsel submits that a reasonable opportunity be given to applicants for seeking relief of enhancement of compensation in the matters. The learned counsel further added that applicants - appellants will not claim statutory benefits as well as amount of interest as laid down in the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, for the period of delay sought to be condoned, in case of success of appeals on merit. Hence, learned counsel for applicants prayed for condonation of delay.
3. The learned counsel for respondent No. 3 - Acquiring Body submits that there is inordinate delay, which has not been explained satisfactorily, therefore, the same cannot be condoned and prayed for rejection of applications.
4. The learned AGP raised objection and submits that applications be rejected.
5. In view of the aforesaid submissions and for the reasons mentioned in the applications that the delay so caused in filing the appeals was only due to unavoidable circumstances as well financial crises, I find it justifiable to give reasonable opportunity to the applicants-appellants in

the interest of justice to ventilate grievances before the Appellate Forum. There is sufficient cause to allow the applications for condonation of delay. In addition, the applicants-claimants have shown their willingness / inclination that they will not claim statutory benefits as well as amount of interest as mentioned above. In such circumstances, by imposing aforesaid fetter of waiver of statutory benefits and interest amount etc. on the part of applicants-appellants, there would not be any impediment to condone the delay. The applications for condonation of delay required to be allowed.

6. In sequel, applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause "B". The delay caused to present the appeals against the impugned Judgment and Award stands condoned subject to condition that applicants-appellants shall not claim statutory benefits as well as amount of interest as laid down in the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 for the delayed period allowed to be condoned, in case, any enhanced compensation is awarded by this Court after adjudication of appeals on merit.

7. Pursuant to aforesaid waiver of statutory claim, the applicants-appellants shall furnish undertakings to that effect and place it on record of the appeals to enable this Court to take note of the same, while decision of the appeals on merit. Registry to take requisite steps for further process.

8. The civil applications are allowed in aforesaid terms and stand disposed of.

9. On registration of appeal, issue notice to the respondents. Learned AGP waives service of notice for respondents No. 1 and 2 and Mr. Bhalerao, learned counsel waives service of notice for respondent No. 3.

10. Meanwhile, call for record and proceedings from the concerned learned Reference Court.

11. List the appeals for admission in due course.

[K. K. SONAWANE]
JUDGE

MTK.