

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.15251 OF 2017

Sachin s/o Baburao Jadhav
and ors.

..Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.15257 OF 2017

Sanjivani Pandurang Andhale
and anr.

..Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.15266 OF 2017

Kavita Subhash Nawale and anr.

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.15267 OF 2017

Girishkumar s/o Pralhadrao Adhave
and ors.

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.15276 OF 2017

Kishorkumar s/o Digambar Kamble
and ors.

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.15286 OF 2017

Maheshs/o Appasaheb Mandage
and ors.

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.15287 OF 2017

Avinash s/o Madhavrao Doenrao
and ors.

..Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO. 15295 OF 2017

Sachin s/o Narayan Gund and ors.

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

Mr M.R. Andhale, Advocate for petitioners
Mrs V.N. Patil, Mr G.O.Wattamwar, Mr P.S. Patil, Mr S.G. Karlekar, Mr
A.S. Shinde and Mr R.V. Dasalkar, learned A.G.P.s for respondent no.1
in respective matters.
Mr Deelip Patil Bankar Advocate for respondent no.2 – MPSP in all
matters

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO. 15259 OF 2017

Suchita Vishnupant Munde

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.15260 OF 2017

Nurjaha Mohomad Riyaj and ors.

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.15273 OF 2017

Shital Ramesh Pandure
and ors.

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -
WRIT PETITION NO.15275 OF 2017

Lata Kondbarao Shirfule

..Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai and ors.

..Respondents

- WITH -

WRIT PETITION NO.15285 OF 2017

Dnyandeo s/o Laxman Amate and anr.

.. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors.

.. Respondents

Mr A.G. Ambetkar, Advocate for petitioners
Mr G.O. Wattamwar, Mr P.S. Patil, Mr A.S. Shinde A.G.P.s for
respondent no.1 in respective matters
Mr Deelip Patil Bank, Advocate for respondent no.2 MPSP in all
matters

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
A.M. DHAVALA, JJ**

DATE : 4th January 2018

PER COURT

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submit that the permanent cadre of Part-Time Instructor is not being created by the respondents. This issue is already dealt with by this Court in group of Writ Petitions bearing Writ Petition No.7106 of 2013 along with connected Writ Petitions in judgment and order dated 9th May 2014.

2. In case the petitioners feel that the said directions have not been complied with, the petitioners have remedy. It is submitted that the petitioner have filed Contempt Petition to that regard. As such, subsequent petitions would not be tenable.

3. The petitioners as such are at liberty to take appropriate steps as may be permissible.
4. In view of that Writ Petitions stand disposed of. No costs.

(A.M. DHAVAL, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

vvr