

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9314 OF 2011

Rakhma Kisan Nannar

Petitioner...

Versus

The Union of India & Ors.

Respondents...

.....

Mr Anandsing Bayas, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr S. B. Deshpande, ASG for respondent No. 1

Mr G. R. Ingle, Advocate for respondent No. 2

Mr Alok Sharma, Advocate for respondent No. 3

Mr A. R. Joshi, Advocate for respondent No. 4

.....

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.**

DATE : 8TH JUNE, 2018.

PER COURT:-

. Counsel appearing for the petitioner states that, by passage of time, the petition is rendered infructuous.

2. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous. Rule is discharged. No costs.

**[A. M. DHAVALA]
JUDGE**

**[R. M. BORDE]
JUDGE**