

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 746 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.24769 OF 2009

The State of Maharashtra

APPLICANT

VERSUS

Madhav Rawan Chole

RESPONDENT

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 742 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.24821 OF 2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 744 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.24818 OF 2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 748 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.24812 OF 2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 750 OF 2010
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.24815 OF 2009

.....
Mr. S. P. Deshmukh, AGP for applicant- State
.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 10th APRIL, 2018

ORDER :

1. Heard learned AGP for the applicant – State. He refers to

the contents of the applications, stating that the delay has been caused under the circumstances referred to therein.

2. Despite service, none appears for the respondent-claimants.

3. In the circumstances, there does not appear to be any serious dispute about contents of the applications and the delay having been caused due to the same. Further, it is being submitted by learned AGP that the delay is neither intentional nor deliberate and no particular benefits have been derived by causing delay. Absence of the respondent – claimants show that there is tacit acceptance of the veracity of the contentions of the applications.

4. In view of aforesaid, the applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause “B” and are disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]