

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO. 9363 OF 2018

Amol s/o Jagdish Jagrut

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
& others

Respondents

Ms. P.J. Bharad, advocate for petitioner.

Mrs. V.N. Patil Jadhav, AGP for respondents 1 to 6.

Mr. R.S. Dhamangaonkar and Mr. GM. Jakkadwar, advocates for respondent no. 7.

**CORAM : R.M.BORDE AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 16th October, 2018.**

PER COURT :

1. Petitioner is objecting to the work order issued in favour of respondent no. 7 on 19.07.2018. Estimated cost of the work is Rs.16,03,419/- and the offer made by respondent no. 7 is 27.27% below the estimated cost. Petitioner claims that some part of the work has been carried out by him without there being any written instructions in that behalf from the department.

2. It would be difficult to take cognizance of such a statement in the absence of any material to substantiate the contention. If at all, petitioner is of the opinion that he has done certain work for which he is required to be paid by the department, it would be open for the petitioner to take appropriate proceeding for redressal of his grievance.

3. With liberty as aforesaid, writ petition is dismissed.

MANGESH S. PATIL
JUDGE

R.M.BORDE
JUDGE

dyb