

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.12605 OF 2018
IN WP/8742/2011
WITH CA/12606/2018 IN WP/8742/2011

BAJIRAO SAHEBRAO MORE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Applicant : Shri Dighe Pravin S
Advocate for Respondent 5 : Shri Dambe S.S.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: December 10, 2018

...
PER COURT :-

1. I have considered the submissions of the learned Advocate and learned AGP for the respective sides.
2. In so far as the first civil application is concerned, the amendment to add paragraph Nos. 3A to 3L and 10A and 10B is allowed with liberty to the other sides to file additional affidavit in reply. Amendment be carried out within four weeks and affidavit in reply to be filed within four weeks thereafter.
3. The prayer for adding the Tahsildar as a respondent is rejected and the first application, therefore, stands partly allowed. The learned AGP would keep the record available at the time of the final hearing in the Writ Petition.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2-CIVIL APPLICATION NO.12605 OF 2018 & ANR

4. Consequentially, the second civil application praying for listing the writ petition for final hearing is partly allowed in view of the earlier order dated 12.2.2016 passed in civil application No.4134 of 2015.

5. List the Writ Petition No.8472 of 2011 for final hearing on 14.3.2019.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...