

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 04 OF 2016

Commissioner of Customs, Central
Excise and Service Tax, Nashik - II
Commissionerate .. Appellant

Versus

M/s Maharashtra Industrial Development
Corporation (Maintenance Sub Division)
Ahmednagar .. Respondent

Shri D. S. Ladda, Advocate for the Appellant.
Shri Prabhakar Shetty, Advocate h/f Shri V. S. Bedre, Advocate
for the Respondent.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE : 28TH FEBRUARY, 2018.

FINAL ORDER :

. We have heard Mr. Ladda, the learned advocate for the appellant and the learned advocate for the respondent.

2. The learned advocate for the respondent submits that, between the same parties, the appellant had approached this Court at its principal seat at Bombay by filing Central Excise Appeal No. 164 of 2015 with other connected appeals involving similar questions of law. The same has been decided in favour of

the assessee.

3. Mr. Ladda, the learned advocate for the appellant does not dispute the said fact.

4. In the light of the above, no substantial question of law arises. The appeal is dismissed. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVAL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Feb. 18