

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 567 OF 2018

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 7831 OF 2017

Smt. Sangita Chintamani Koli

Petitioner...

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Respondents...

.....

Mr S. C. Yeramwar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr S. Y. Mahajan, AGP for respondent/State

Mr S. B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for respondent No. 2

Mr K. D. Mundhe, advocate for respondent No. 3

.....

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
MANISH PITALE, JJ.**

DATE : 27TH SEPTEMBER, 2018.

PER COURT:-

1. Mr S. C. Yeramwar, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner was before this Court raising grievance against her transfer. The Division Bench of this Court vide order dt.22.02.2018 in Writ Petition No. 7831 of 2017, directed the respondents to accommodate the petitioner on the vacant post available with respondent No. 2, without affecting the respondent No. 4. As per the instructions of the learned counsel, necessary orders have been passed protecting the interest of the petitioner.

2. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the purpose of approaching this Court by way of the present petition is served. The contempt petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

[MANISH PITALE]
JUDGE

[PRASANNA B. VARALE]
JUDGE

Punde