

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9244 OF 2017

Balaji Baburao Shinde .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 9245 OF 2017

Sudhir Devidas Pachkudve .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 9246 OF 2017

Surajkumar Kailas Yevale .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 9247 OF 2017

Punam Gopalkrushna Majage .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Kishor J. Ghute Patil, Advocate for the Petitioner in all matters.

Shri A. V. Deshmukh, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 in all matters.

Shri P. R. Kadam, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 6 and 7 in all matters - absent.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.
DATE : 22ND FEBRUARY, 2018.**

FINAL ORDER :

. In all these matters the petitioners are appointed as Shikshan Sevaks from reserved category. Their appointments are approved by the Education Officer. In Writ Petition No. 9245 of 2017 and Writ Petition No. 9246 of 2017, even permanent approval is granted to the petitioners. However, subsequently under the impugned orders, the approvals are cancelled.

2. It would appear that, all these petitioners are appointed from reserved category. In view of that, ban on recruitment would not apply to them. The impugned orders only refer to the government resolution by virtue of which the ban was imposed on recruitment. As ban on recruitment would not apply to the petitioners, the impugned orders are quashed and set aside. The writ petitions are accordingly disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVALA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]