

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 10304 OF 2017

Swati W/o Vikas Chavan

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors.

...Respondents

Mr. N.P. Patil Jamalpurkar, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. C.S. Kulkarni, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 5

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE AND
MANISH PITALE, JJ.**

DATE: 9th OCTOBER, 2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Mr. N.P. Patil Jamalpurkar, the learned Counsel for the petitioner. Notice was issued by this Court on 22nd August, 2017. The petitioner was before this Court for seeking direction to the respondents to appoint an independent revenue officer i.e. Talathi for revenue, village Devtala (Vasant Nagar) to look after the business of the land Revenue or the surveys and accounts connected therewith and maintain the record in respect of the land situated within the limits of Devtala.

2. The learned AGP on instructions, placed before us the order passed on 18.08.2018. By the said order, Shri. K.K. Madne, is handed over the additional charge to act as Talathi of the newly

constituted Sajja, Hasalgaon. The communication further shows that the newly constituted Sajja, Hasalgaon is inclusive of two villages, Hasalgaon and Devtala i.e. Vasantnagar. The proposal submitted for appointment of the Revenue Officer i.e. Talathi for village Hasalgaon is approved and the communication dated 26.07.2018 refers that proposal was submitted by the Tahsildar on 22.05.2018 and this proposal is submitted by Tahsildar was accordingly accepted and allowed. Though the petitioner prays that direction be issued to appoint an independent officer for revenue village Devtala (Vasantnagar), only the provisions of the Code clearly show that the revenue officer can be appointed for one village or a group of villages. As such, in the order passed by the authority is in consonance with the provisions of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code. The document is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

3. Considering all the above referred facts, we are of the opinion that the grievance of the petitioner is redressed by the State authorities. The purpose of the petitioner to approach before this Court is served. The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(MANISH PITALE)
JUDGE

(PRASANNA B. VARALE)
JUDGE

mta