

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 13343 OF 2018
IN
REVIEW APPLICATION NO.40 OF 2013
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.6372 OF 2010**

...

Yogesh S/o Kamlakar Jagtap

..Applicant

Versus

City and Industrial Development
Corporation of Maharashtra Ltd.,
Through its Managing Director
and Others.

..Respondents

...

Advocate for Applicant : Shri P. S. Dighe
Advocate for Respondents No.1 & 2 : Shri A.S.Bajaj

...

**CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND
P. R. BORA, JJ.**

DATE: 27th November, 2018

PER COURT:-

1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.
2. Leave to amend.
3. Shri P.S.Dighe, learned Counsel for the applicant refers to the reasons as are appearing in the application, particularly, contents in

paragraphs No.3, 4 and 5 of the application and submits that under the circumstances referred to therein, the delay has been caused. He submits that the delay caused is neither intentional nor deliberate and no particular benefit is derived to the applicant because of the delay.

4. Shri A.S.Bajaj, learned Counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 resisted the application, however, he is not in a position to challenge the veracity of the contents of the application.

5. The application is, as such, allowed in terms of prayer Clauses-'A' and 'B', and is disposed of.

(P.R.BORA)
JUDGE

(SUNIL P. DESHMUKH)
JUDGE

SPT