

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

914 CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 99 OF 2016
WITH
CRA/100/2016

BHAGUBAI JUNGLU SHINDE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
RAMESH KITKUL GAJARE

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr.Patil Vijay Y.(absent)

Advocate for Respondents : Mr.V.B. Patil

...

CORAM : M.S.SONAK, J.

DATE : 9.1.2018

PER COURT:-

1) None appears for the applicants.

2) Mr.V.B.Patil learned Advocate for the respondents points out that on last occasion itself, the Advocate for the applicants had made a statement that applicant No.1, Bhagubai Junglu Shinde has expired. He points out that Bhagubai Junglu Shinde has expired almost 4 to 6 months ago. Till date, no steps are taken.

3) Further, today, when the matter is called out, neither applicant No.2 nor his Advocates are present. Therefore, in the said circumstances, these Civil Revision Applications are dismissed for non-prosecution.

4) The record indicates that the applicants have not even furnished any document of deposit of the costs of Rs.500/- till today.

[M.S.SONAK, J.]