

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8467 OF 2017
IN
FIRST APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 20085 OF 2017

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Applicants

versus

Bhagchand Sandu Barwal and another .. Respondents

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8469 OF 2017
IN
FIRST APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 20090 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8471 OF 2017
IN
FIRST APPEAL [STAMP] NO. 20094 OF 2017

Mr. A. M. Phule, Assistant Government Pleader for applicants
Mr. P. A. Bhosle, Advocate h/f Mr. A. H. Hajare, Advocate for
respondent no. 1

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 27th February, 2018

ORDER :

1. Heard learned Assistant Government Pleader for applicants and learned counsel for respondent no. 1.
2. Learned Assistant Government Pleader refers to contents of the applications and states that delay has been

caused in the circumstances referred to in the application. He submits that delay has been caused in the official movement of the matter from one table to other and one department to another. Applicants have not gained any benefit from the delay caused.

3. Learned counsel for respondent purports to resist the applications, stating that no credibility be given to submissions and reasons in the applications since the contentions of the applicants have not been supported by placing anything on record.

4. Although learned counsel for respondent submits so, he has not been able to challenge veracity of claims as would be appearing in the civil application. In the circumstances, it would be expedient to grant the applications.

5. Civil applications are, accordingly, allowed in terms of prayer clause (B) and is disposed of.

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH,
JUDGE

pnd/-