

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

940 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8863 OF 2012
IN FAST/19317/2012

MAH. INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, DIVISION
OFFICER, LATUR

VERSUS

SHRINIVAS S/O BALAJIRAO DESHMUKH & ORS.

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. G.S. Khaire
holding for Mr.S.S. Dande
Advocate for respondent No.1 : Mr. K.M. Nagarkar
AGP for Respondents/State : Miss. S.S. Raut

...

CORAM : K.K. SONAWANE, J.

DATE : 22nd JUNE, 2018

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant – M.I.D.C., learned counsel Mr. K.M. Nagarkar for respondent No.1 and learned A.G.P. for respondent Nos.2 and 3 – State.

2. Perused the application. Learned Counsel for applicant submits that due to official procedure to present an appeal, delay has been caused. He submits that delay is not deliberate and intentional but caused owing to administrative compliance. As such, he prayed to condone the delay.

3. I have heard the submission canvassed on behalf of learned counsel for applicant and also perused the application as well as the impugned judgment and award against which the applicant Acquiring Body is intending to file an appeal.

4. The matter pertains to land acquisition proceedings. It has been contended that after the impugned judgment and award of the Reference Court, the Acquiring Body being an independent institution, approached to its counsel as directed by the superior officers and obtained the tentative figure of proposed expenditure for filing an appeal. The applicant Acquiring Body made necessary arrangement of finance for requisite court fees etc., and thereafter preferred the present appeal with application for condonation of delay. The impugned delay was not intentional and deliberate but owing to compliance of official process.

5. I am of the considered opinion that reasonable opportunity needs to be given to the applicant Acquiring Body to ventilate its grievances in the appellate forum. The appellant is the Government Agency i.e. Acquiring Body and in view of public interest, it is imperative to extend latitude while dealing with the condonation of delay, by adopting liberal and pragmatic approach. If the appeal of the appellant acquiring body is not allowed to be presented for further process on the ground of such default of delay, no person is individually affected but, ultimately, the public interest would be at stake. Therefore, I do not find any impediment to allow the applicant/Acquiring Body

to present an appeal by condoning the delay. It would not cause any prejudice or injustice to the respondent. In contrast, it would sub-serve the interest of justice. Hence, the application for condonation of delay deserves to be allowed. In sequel, the application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause (A). Delay caused in filing appeal against the impugned judgment and award is hereby condoned. Registry to take requisite steps for registration of appeal.

6. On registration of appeal, issue notice to respondents. Learned counsel Mr. K.M. Nagarkar waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and learned AGP waives notice for respondent Nos.2 and 3. R. & P. be called. After receipt of R. & P. matter be placed for admission in due course.

(K.K. SONAWANE, J.)