

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

12 WRIT PETITION NO. 7813 OF 2018

BHAKTRAJ DNYANDEV FUNDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH

13 WRIT PETITION NO. 7814 OF 2018

KIRAN SHANKAR GAIKWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH

14 WRIT PETITION NO. 7815 OF 2018

SEEMA MACHHINDRA SHIRWAT
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH

15 WRIT PETITION NO. 7816 OF 2018

ROHINI SURESH DEHADRAY
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

WITH

16 WRIT PETITION NO. 7817 OF 2018

SUNITA BABASAHEB KARAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Kshirsagar Gajanan K.

AGP for Respondents 1 and 2 : Mr. A.V.Deshmukh

Adv. For respondent nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. S.B.Ghute

...

CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA

AND

SUNIL K.KOTWAL, JJ.

DATE : JULY 17, 2018

O R D E R :

Issue notice to the respondents.

2. Learned AGP waives service of notice for respondent nos. 1 and 2.

3. Mr. Ghute, learned counsel waives service of notice for respondent nos. 3 and 4.

4. Mr. Kshirsagar, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners at present are seeking only limited relief that the Chief Executive Officer be directed to decide the representation filed by the petitioners with regard

to the couple convenience.

5. We have heard learned counsel Mr. Ghute for respondent nos. 3 and 4.

6. As the only limited relief is sought, we are not going into the merits of the matter.

7. Respondent no. 3 may decide the representation filed by the petitioners, as permissible in law and policy within three months from today.

8. The writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

[SUNIL K.KOTWAL, J.] [S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.]

mahajansb/