

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8279 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 5796 OF 2018**

Shabana Tabassum Shaikh Mohammed .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri. Mahesh V. Ghatge, Advocate for Applicant.

Shri. N. T. Bhagat, A.G.P. for Respondent/State.

Shri. Joshi, Advocate for Non applicants.

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATED : 06th July, 2018

PER COURT:

. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned A.G.P. for respondent and the learned counsel for the non applicants.

2. Writ petition is at the nascent stage. According to the applicant the amendment is necessitated because of the subsequent orders during the pendency of the writ petition.

3. Even otherwise the non applicants will have opportunity to controvert the averments sought to be introduced by way of amendment.

3. In the light of the above civil application is allowed in terms of prayer clause 'A'. Civil application is disposed of.

[SUNIL K. KOTWAL, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

ass/ca 8279.18