

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 13291 OF 2017

Yashwant s/o Dattatraya Kingaonkar
and ors.

..Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and ors.

..Respondents

Mr S.R. Shirsath, Advocate h/f Mr S.S. Jadhavar, Advocate for
petitioners

Mr R.V. Dasalkar, A.G.P. for respondent no.1-State

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
A.M. DHAVALA, JJ**

DATE : 11th January 2018

PER COURT

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that respondents have complied the work of the road without any notice to the petitioners.
2. In light of that, the relief that would be claimed by the petitioners would be different. The present petitioners were seeking restraint upon the respondents from constructing the road.
3. As the road is already constructed, the purpose of the writ petition would not serve.
4. Writ Petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to take steps seeking directions to the respondents with regard to acquisition of the land, as is permissible in law. No costs.

(A.M. DHAVALA, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

vvr