

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6588 OF 2018

Ankita D/o Abhijeet Baviskar

Petitioner...

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

Respondents...

.....

Mr Sushant C. Yeramawar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for respondent/State

.....

**CORAM : R. M. BORDE &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.**

DATE : 27TH JUNE, 2018.

PER COURT:-

. Since the petitioner has failed to exercise the option for claiming seat under specified reservation category, the grievance raised by the petitioner in the instant petition at a later point of time cannot be entertained in view of clause 9.1.5.1 of the admission rules published by the Commissionerate, Common Entrance Test Cell, Mumbai. In exercise of writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution, no interference is called for. Petition is devoid of substance and same stands disposed of.

**[A. M. DHAVALA]
JUDGE**

**[R. M. BORDE]
JUDGE**

Punde