

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

39 WRIT PETITION NO. 11475 OF 2018

SANTOSH S MUKTA
VERSUS
THE ASSTT COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX CENTRAL
CIR 2 AURANGABAD AND OTHERS

...
Mr. R.R.Chandak & M.K.Kulkarni, Advocates for Petitioner
Mr. Sharma Alok M., Advocate for Respondent No.1
...

**CORAM : R.M.BORDE AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 15.10.2018

PER COURT :-

1. It is informed that the petitioner has already availed of an alternate remedy of appeal and the same is pending before the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeal). It is further informed by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents that the scrutiny report has been drawn by the appellate authority.

2. In the circumstances, without entering into the merits of the controversy, the ends of justice would be met in directing the Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeal) to decide the pending appeal presented by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of

three months from today and it is accordingly directed. Writ
Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

(R.M.BORDE, J.)

...

vmk/-