

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10536 OF 2018 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.13396 OF 2017

Balaji s/o Baliram Bande ... APPLICANT

VERSUS

Rajiv s/o Maroti Rathod & ors. ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri S.B. Gastgar, Advocate for applicant
Shri S.B. Patil, advocate for petitioner in W.P.
Shri L.S. Shaikh, Advocate for respondent No.4 in W.P.
.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10538 OF 2018 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.13411 OF 2017

Balaji s/o Baliram Bande ... APPLICANT

VERSUS

Gajanan s/o Chandrakant Parthewar & ors.... RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri S.B. Gastgar, Advocate for applicant
Shri S.B. Patil, advocate for petitioner in W.P.
Shri L.S. Shaikh, Advocate for respondent No.4 in W.P.
.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10539 OF 2018 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.13374 OF 2017

Balaji s/o Baliram Bande ... APPLICANT

VERSUS

Namdeo s/o Mohanrao Bhange & ors. ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri S.B. Gastgar, Advocate for applicant
Shri S.B. Patil, advocate for petitioner in W.P.
Shri L.S. Shaikh, Advocate for respondent No.4 in W.P.

.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10540 OF 2018 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.13354 OF 2017

Balaji s/o Baliram Bande ... APPLICANT

VERSUS

Santosh s/o Mallikarjun Devane & ors. ... RESPONDENTS

.....
Shri S.B. Gastgar, Advocate for applicant
Shri S.B. Patil, advocate for petitioner in W.P.
Shri L.S. Shaikh, Advocate for respondent No.4 in W.P.

.....

CORAM: PRASANNA B. VARALE AND
 MANISH PITALE, JJ.

DATED : 20th AUGUST, 2018.

ORAL ORDER :

1. By way of present Civil Applications, the applicant
Balaji Baliram Bande prayed for intervention in the writ petitions.
It is submitted in the applications that the applicant made
complaint to the authorities. An enquiry was also conducted. It

is submitted in the applications that, certain facts are not placed on record. These facts are necessary and relevant facts for the consideration of the writ petitions. As the applicant is aware of all these facts, if an opportunity is granted to the applicant by participating in the petitions as a party to the petitions, he will bring all the relevant facts before this Court for an appropriate adjudication. The counsel appearing for the applicant submits that, the participation of the applicant would not cause any prejudice to the respondents or the petitioners.

2. In view of the submissions of the learned counsel, and for the reasons stated in the Civil Applications, the Civil Applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause (B). Necessary and consequential amendment be carried out in the petition within one week from today. Post the petitions for further consideration on 3rd September 2018.

(MANISH PITALE)
JUDGE

(PRASANNA B. VARALE)
JUDGE

fmp/-