

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.9301 OF 2013

Madhav S/o Sudamrao Tangade ... Petitioner.

Versus

Maharashtra State Electricity
Distribution Company Limited
and others ... Respondents.

....

None for the Petitioner.

Ms. Harshita M. Mangalni, Advocate h/f Mr. A.S. Bajaj, Advocate
for Respondent No.1.

Ms. D.S. Jape, A.G.P. for Respondent No.2.

....

**CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE &
SUNIL K. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATED : 26th FEBRUARY, 2018

PER COURT:-

1. Nobody present for the petitioner. This Court has considered the point involved in the matter and the said case is reported in **2013 (2) All MR 841 (Jagannath Khakare & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra)**. In that proceeding also the age relaxation was claimed by the petitioner for his consideration on the post advertised by the respondent-company. This Court held that the Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Company incorporated under Companies Act is not bound to follow the age relaxation and such relaxation cannot be given to the 'project/earthquake' affected persons. Thus it is held that there

is no right is created in favour of such persons against the respondent-company.

2. In view of the said decision, the present petition is disposed of of the same reason.

(SUNIL K. KOTWAL)
JUDGE

(T.V. NALAWADE)
JUDGE

SPR