

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7467 OF 2018
IN ITAST/16393/2018

THE PR. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX (CENTRAL) NAGPUR
VERSUS
M/S R.J. BUILDCON PVT LTD., PUNE

Mr.Alok Sharma, Advocate for the applicant

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
S.M.GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATED : 15.06.2018

P.C. :-

. The learned counsel appearing for the applicant/appellant by inviting our attention to the order passed by the Income Tax Appeal Tribunal submitted that the tribunal has given decisions in four appeals by common judgment and order dated 30.11.2017. There was two sets of appeal i.e. Appeal Nos.1370/2015 and 1371/2015 . Mr. Alok Sharma, learned counsel submitted that though the applicant/appellant filed an appeal challenging the order of the ITAT in the appeal No.1370 and 1371 and appeal No.23/2016 inadvertently the appeal against the appeal No.22/2016 remains to be filed. Mr. Alok Sharma, Learend counsel submitted that the delay in filing the appeal was purely an over sight and for bonafide reasons. Mr. Sharma, learned counsel also submitted that these

appeals are pending for admission and they are filed and registered as appeal St.No.15164/2018 and 15106/2018 etc.

2. In view of the submissions of the Mr. Sharma, learned counsel and for the reasons stated in the application, in our opinion the cause of delay is explained by the learned counsel is just and proper and bonafide reasons. Resultantly the application is allowed. Delay is condoned. The application stands disposed of.

[S.M.GAVHANE, J.]

[PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.]