

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9531 OF 2009
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.16152 OF 2009

The State of Maharashtra and Another

APPLICANTS

VERSUS

Narayan Namdeo Somwad

RESPONDENT

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9537 OF 2009
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.16162 OF 2009

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9535 OF 2009
IN
FIRST APPEAL STAMP NO.16158 OF 2009

.....
Mr. S. P. Deshmukh, AGP for applicant- State
.....

[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]

DATE : 10th APRIL, 2018

ORDER :

1. Heard learned AGP for the applicant – State. He refers to the contents of the applications, stating that the delay has been caused under the circumstances referred to therein.
2. Despite service, none appears for the respondent-claimants.

3. In the circumstances, there does not appear to be any serious dispute about contents of the applications and the delay having been caused due to the same. Further, it is being submitted by learned AGP that the delay is neither intentional nor deliberate and no particular benefits have been derived by causing delay. Absence of the respondent – claimants show that there is tacit acceptance of the veracity of the contentions of the applications.

4. In view of aforesaid, the applications are allowed in terms of prayer clause “B” and are disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.]