

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5921 OF 2017

Sudam Kashirao Desai

... Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

.....

Mr K. J. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr A. S. Shinde, AGP for respondent/State

Respondents No. 4 and 5 served.

Mr S. Rodge, Advocate for respondent No. 6

Mr S. S. Dembe, Advocate for respondents No. 7

.....

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 14706 OF 2017

Dr. Jaysinh Shivajirao Veer

... Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

.....

Mr K. J. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr S. G. Karlekar, AGP for respondent/State

Mr R. D. Raut, Advocate for respondents No. 5 to 7

Respondents No. 6 & 7 served.

.....

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 6006 OF 2017

Dr. Rajendra S/o Mitthulal Sarde

... Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

.....

Mr K. J. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the petitioner
 Mrs A. V. Gondhalekar, AGP for respondent/State
 Respondents No. 4 and 5 served
 Mr S. B. Munde, Advocate for respondent No. 6
 Mr S. S. Dembe, Advocate for respondent No. 7

.....

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 6040 OF 2017

Dr. Suhas S/o Jagganathrao Parsewar ... Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

.....

Mr K. J. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the petitioner
 Mr A. S. Shinde, AGP for respondent/State
 Respondents No. 4 and 5 served
 Mr Sawant, Advocate for respondents No. 6 and 7

.....

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
 A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE : 28TH MARCH, 2018.

ORDER:

1. Mr. Suryawanshi, the learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions, submits that the petitioners would not press the prayers made in the present writ petitions with regard to challenge of the order of the Tribunal passed in their Original Applications. The petitioners are satisfied with the order passed by the Tribunal. The respondents be directed to implement the said order.

2. We have heard learned Assistant Government Pleader for the State.

3. In case the order passed by the Tribunal assailed in the present writ petitions is accepted by the respondents, then there is no impediment for the respondents to implement the order passed by the Tribunal. The order passed by the Tribunal shall be implemented expeditiously, preferably within six months.

4. The Writ Petitions are, accordingly, disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVALA]
JUDGE

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

Punde