

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 5578 OF 2016

BHAGWAN TUKARAM PADWAL AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Shri Undre Vikram S
AGP for Respondents 1 & 2 : Shri Tambe S.K.
Advocate for Respondents 3 & 4 : Shri Bedre V.S. h/f Shri Murge E.S.

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: January 16, 2018

...

PER COURT :-

1. Learned counsel for the respondents placed reliance upon the judgment of the Honourable Apex Court in the matter of Gurudassingh Nawoosingh Panjawani Vs. State of Maharashtra [2015 AIR SCW 627], to contend that the learned Division Bench of this Court had taken a view in a Letters Patent Appeal concluding that a second revision under Section 257 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code confers jurisdiction on the State Government. Learned counsel for the petitioners, therefore, submits that the petitioners would avail of the remedy of revision under Section 257 of the Code and the time spent in this Court may be considered as a good ground for condonation of delay, if any.

2. Considering the above, this petition is disposed off as withdrawn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 - WRIT PETITION NO. 5578 OF 2016

so as to enable the petitioners to avail of the remedy under Section 257 of the Code. The time spent by this petitioners in this Court from 8.4.2016 till the passing of this order would be a good ground for condonation of delay occurred in filing the revision petition.

3. Needless to state if the petitioners prefer the revision within four weeks from today, the competent authority would endeavour to decide the same as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of six months from the date of the filing of the revision.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...