

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.6598 OF 2017

Rangnath Keraba Thorat And Others
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.735 OF 2017**

Manisha Bhausahab Lokhande
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.2363 OF 2017**

Jizabai Bhausahab Harde And Another
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.2488 OF 2017**

Akila Begum Shabbir Kureshi And Another
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.3012 OF 2017**

Kuntal Rajendra Palawe
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.3950 OF 2017

Meenabai Shahaji Anbhule And Others
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4008 OF 2017

Gita Ashok Giramkar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4013 OF 2017

Sangita Babasaheb Netke And Another
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4028 OF 2017

Vikeel Rajendra Kate And Another
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4593 OF 2017

Ajinath Dada Dhavle And Others
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4595 OF 2017

Laxmibai Chandrakant Mohite
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4597 OF 2017

Bandu Parbhathi Dhole And Others
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6466 OF 2017

Pushpa Nanasaheb Gaikwad And Others
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6594 OF 2017

Mandabai Kashinath Adhav And Another
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6599 OF 2017

Ashwini Dnyaneshwar Parkhe And Others
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6600 OF 2017

Tulsabai Dattatraya Jagtap And Another
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.10603 OF 2017

Alka Bhausahab Khatke
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.10604 OF 2017

Bhima Shrirang Phajge
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.10605 OF 2017

Mangal Bhagwan Parhar
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.12609 OF 2017

Shital Sudam Shete
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

Mr. Shaikh Mazhar A. Jahagirdar, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Shri S.R. Yadav, AGP for the Respondents/ State.
Shri S.T. Shelke, Advocate for the State Election Commission.

...

CORAM: RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATE :- 02nd August, 2018

Per Court:

1 Not on the Board. Mentioned. Taken on the Production Board.

2 I have heard the learned Advocates for the respective parties.

3 In these petitions, the issue is as regards Section 10-1A of the Maharashtra Village Panchayats Act, whereby, a candidate elected to a post reserved for any backward class, has to submit his caste/tribe validity certificate within 6 months from the date of election.

4 The learned Full Bench of this Court has held in **Anant H. Ulahalkar Vs. Chief Election Commissioner [2017(1) Mh.L.J.431]**, that the 6 months' period under Section 9A of the Maharashtra Municipal Councils, Nagar Panchayats and Industrial Townships Act, 1965 is mandatory and any candidate not submitting his validity certificate within 6 months, would incur disqualification automatically after the period of 6 months, from the date of the declaration of his election, is over.

5 The Hon'ble Apex Court is said to be seized of a group of Special Leave Petitions involving the same issue in view of the learned Full Bench judgment in **Anant H.Ulahalkar** (supra) and has stayed the judgment. Protection has been granted to such disqualified candidates for having failed to submit their validity certificates within 6 months.

6 In this group of petitions, it is not disputed that the petitioners are declared elected as members of the respective Gram

Panchayats. Their applications to the Scrutiny Committee for validation of their caste/ tribe certificates were submitted and the said claims were pending at the time of filing their nomination papers. It is also undisputed that in some cases, the claims are still pending and in some cases, the validity certificates have been granted and have now been submitted by the respective Petitioners, though after the period of six months. The respective District Collectors, however, have disqualified the petitioners by the impugned orders. A categorical statement has been made by each of these Petitioners across the Bar that none of them have suffered a rejection of their validity claims. Based on such statements, this order is passed.

7 The learned Advocates for the respective petitioners have cited two orders passed by the learned Division Bench of this Court on 23.04.2018 in Writ Petition No.5402/2017 and connected matters and on 20.06.2018 in Writ Petition No.6133/2018 by which, it is concluded that, pursuant to the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court, the Authorities can resort to a fresh action against the petitioners. The said petitions are disposed of.

8 It requires no debate that if the view taken in ***Anant Ulahalkar case (supra)*** is sustained by the Hon'ble Apex Court, the disqualification of the petitioners herein by the impugned orders shall stand automatically sustained. If the Hon'ble Apex Court takes a different view and by virtue of the said view, only if the petitioners are protected,

then the impugned orders of disqualification would automatically stand set aside and no further action would be required to be initiated against these petitioners.

9 With the above observations, all these Writ Petitions are disposed of. The protection granted by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the group of cases before it, would protect the petitioners herein until the decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the pending cases and subject to the observations set out in the foregoing paragraphs.

kps

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)