

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5618 OF 2006

1. Shri Chakradhar Shikshan Va
Samaj Prabodhan Mandal, Beed
Through its Secretary,
Shri Madanrao Wamanrao Shirsath,
Age:45 years, Occu: Service,
R/o Chakradhar Nagar,
Pangari Road, Beed,
District Beed
2. Shri A. r. Gajare,
Age: Major, Occu: Service,
The Head Master,
Shivneri Primary School,
Chakradhar Nagar, Pangri Road,
Beed, District Beed ..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
2. The Deputy Director of Education,
Aurangabad Division,
Aurangabad
3. The Education Officer (Primary),
Zilla Parishad, Beed
4. The Zilla Parishad Beed,
through its Chief Executive Officer,
Z.P. Beed
5. Shri Shrimant Jyotiram Satpute,
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service,
At Shivneri Primary School,

(2)

Pangari Road, Beed,
District Beed

6. Shivaji Radhakisan Kadam,
Age: 30 years, Occu: Service,
At Shivneri Primary School,
Pangari Road, Beed,
District Beed

7. Mahadeo Sakharam Sangale,
Age: 29 years, Occu: Service,
At Shivneri Primary School,
Pangari Road, Beed,
District Beed

..RESPONDENTS

Mrs A. S. Rasal, Advocate for petitioners;
Mr S. W. Munde, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 & 2;
Mr R. T. Nagargoje, Advocate for respondent No.3

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
S. M. GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 14th JUNE, 2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard learned Counsel appearing for the
respective parties.

2. Mrs Rasal, learned Counsel for the petitioners
invited our attention to the order dated 30th
April, 2009, passed by this Court. Rule was
granted and present petition was tagged along with
Writ Petition Nos.7311, 7462, and 7463 of 2005.
Learned Counsel invited our attention to the
document placed on record at page No.34. It was
submitted that respondent Nos.5,6 and 7 were
appointed subject to decision in the petitions

(3)

preferred by them. She submitted that petitions preferred by respondent Nos.5,6 and 7 are allowed by this Court on 6th September, 2011. As such, she placed on record copy of the order dated 6th September, 2011, passed by this Court. Same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for identification.

3. Perusal of above referred order shows that bunch of petition were decided by this Court. The petitions were allowed and orders cancelling admission of the petitioners were impugned in the petition and order cancelling admission was quashed and set aside.

4. In view of this fact, nothing remained in the present petition. Thus, the petition has been rendered infructuous and same stands disposed of accordingly.

(S. M. GAVHANE)
JUDGE

(PRASANNA B. VARALE)
JUDGE

Tupe