

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**902 FIRST APPEAL NO.325 OF 2004
WITH CA/4858/2003 IN FA/325/2004**

**JAYASHREE KAILASH PATHARE AND ORS
VERSUS
SONABAI MITHU PATHARE AND ANR**

...

Shri Mobin Shaikh, Advocate, h/f. Shri R.N. Dhorde,
Advocate for the Appellants;
Shri S.L. Bhapkar, Advocate for Respondent No.1;
Shri G.G. Suryawanshi, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

...

CORAM : PR. BORA, J.
Dated: October 17, 2018

PER COURT :

1. The learned Counsel appearing for the appellants submitted that, the appellants are not in touch with him and in the circumstances, no further instructions could be received. Same is the case with the respondents also. The learned Counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that, their clients are also not in touch with them. There is reason to believe that, the dispute might have been settled amicably amongst the appellants and the respondents. In the circumstances, the appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

2. Pending Civil Application, if any, stands disposed of.

(PR. BORA, J.)