

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9063 OF 2012

Uttam s/o Namdeo Mundhe and others .. Petitioners
versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9217 OF 2012

Lalitabai w/o Shrihari Mundhe and others .. Petitioners
versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr Vikas G. Kodale, Advocate holding for
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for petitioners
Mr. S. M. Ganachari, Assistant Government
Pleader for respondents no. 1 and 2.

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH
AND P. R. BORA, JJ

DATE : 24th January, 2018

ORDER:

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Assistant Government Pleader for respondents no. 1 and 2.

2. The petitions can be disposed of with directions to respondents to decide representations/applications of petitioners annexed to the rejoinders in both the petitions. It appears that that rental compensation due and payable to

petitioner on land value as awarded by the land acquisition officer is asked to be paid having regard to averments in paragraph no. 8 of the reply filed on behalf of respondents no. 2, 4 and 5.

3. It is expected that the decisions by the authorities concerned on the representations/applications for payment of rental compensation would be taken expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of writ of this order.

4. Decision on representations/ application may be taken, taking into account the instructions issued from time to time under government resolutions. Concerned parties may take into account the decisions rendered by the courts cited by the parties.

P. R. BORA
JUDGE

SUNIL P. DESHMUKH
JUDGE

pnd/-