

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

32 WRIT PETITION NO. 11242 OF 2016

LAXMAN PIRAJI KAMBLE

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Govind G. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. K. N. Lokhande, AGP for Respondent-State.

Mr. R. K. Ingole, Advocate for the Respondent No.2
and 3.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. G. AVACHAT, JJ.**

DATED : 24th OCTOBER, 2018.

PER COURT:-

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner would not press the prayer Clause 'B' and would restrict the petition to the extent of prayer Clause 'C'.

2. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for respondents.

3. As the limited prayer is made, we pass the following order:

ORDER

(i) Respondents shall decide the
representation dated 02.05.2013 made by

the petitioner (Page No.65) on its own merits, in accordance with law, expeditiously and preferably within a period of six (06) months, if not decided.

4. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(R. G. AVACHAT)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

Devendra/October-18

Devendra
Nandkumar
Kale

Digitally signed
by Devendra
Nandkumar Kale
Date: 2018.10.25
13:11:11 +0530