

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

(1)

CA NO.6252 of 2017

**3 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6252 OF 2017
IN SAST/13494/2017 WITH CA/6253/2017 IN
SAST/13494/2017**

PRAKASH SHIVAJI WADWALE
VERSUS
NAGNATH BABU WADWALE AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr.Vibhute Vinod M.
Mr.D. A. Naik, Advocate for respondent nos. 1 and 2.

...
CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.
Dated: June 18, 2018

...

PER COURT :-

1. Heard learned Counsel appearing for the parties.
2. Learned Counsel for the respondent has opposed for condonation of delay stating that even though the delay may be of a shorter period, since no reasons are assigned, it is not liable to be condoned.
3. I have perused the contents of the application. Reasons are assigned in paragraph nos. 5 and 6 of the application which, according to me, are just and sufficient. Hence, the delay caused in filing the appeal is condoned. Second Appeal be registered in accordance with law. Civil Application for condonation of delay stands disposed of.

agp/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

(2)

CA NO.6252 of 2017

4. Issue notice to the respondents in the Second Appeal as well as in the stay application. Learned Counsel Shri D.A.Naik waives service for the respondents. Service complete.

5. List the matter for further consideration after two weeks.

(P.R. BORA, J.)

...